

subpoena. ODA's objections are both untimely under Rule 45(c)(2)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P., and 1 2 unavailing. We find that ODA received notice and that an estimated forty hours of document 3 production and sixty hours of deposition preparation constitute neither an undue burden 4 under the circumstances nor a justification for a three-month delay. We deny ODA's motion 5 for protective order and grant Autodesk's motion to compel. 6 We note that counsel held ongoing negotiations concerning earlier discovery without 7 resolution. If ODA chooses to make its earlier discovery admissible in Autodesk's current 8 action, it may offer it in partial response to the subpoena. Regardless, ODA must comply 9 with the subpoena as written. We also note that there is a September 25, 2009 deadline for 10 close of discovery. 11 We grant Autodesk's request for Rule 37(a)(5), Fed. R. Civ. P., expenses associated 12 with the motion to compel and we deny ODA's request for attorney's fees. ODA may move 13 for reimbursement of significant expenses incurred in producing documents after compliance. 14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED GRANTING ODA's motion for leave to file 15 excess pages (doc. 12), **DENYING** ODA's lodged motion for protective order (doc. 20), and 16 **GRANTING** Autodesk's motion to compel (doc. 1). 17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that ODA: 18 19 and an appropriate privilege log; and 20

- (1) produce all documents identified in the subpoena on or before September 25, 2009
- (2) designate a Rule 30(b)(6), Fed. R. Civ. P., witness to be deposed before September 25, 2009 for no more than seven hours at a location of ODA's choosing.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that ODA pay Autodesk's reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred in moving to compel.

DATED this 17th day of September, 2009.

25

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

United States District Judge